



FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

Carol Rogerson and Martha Shaffer
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1994-1995

Prepared with the assistance of Ranald Sinclair, Ella Schwartz and Norma Priday

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

SEP 20 1994 -

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III


Carol Rogerson and Martha Shaffer
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1994-1995

Prepared with the assistance of Ranald Sinclair, Ella Schwartz and Norma Priday

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

FAMILY LAW

1994-1995

Professors Carol Rogerson and Martha Shaffer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME III

	<u>Page</u>
VIII. DOMESTIC CONTRACTS	
A. <u>Introduction: Contracting in the Family Context</u>	973
<u>Balfour v. Balfour</u>	973
O'Donovan, "Reforming the Private" from <u>Sexual</u> <u>Divisions in Law</u>	974
Mnookin, "Divorce Bargaining: the Limits on Private Ordering"	980
B. <u>Limits on Contracting</u>	984
a) <u>Overview</u>	984
Mesbur, "Domestic Contracts"	984
b) <u>Validity</u>	992
Introductory Note	992
<u>Lindsay v. Lindsay</u>	992
<u>Crouse v. Crouse</u>	998
Note: <u>Heaton v. Heaton</u> ; <u>Currie v. Currie</u>	1002
<u>Cadieu v. Cadieu</u>	1003
<u>Puopolo v. Puopolo</u>	1004
Majury, "Unconscionability in an Equality Context"	1007
c) <u>Statutory Powers to Set Aside and Vary</u>	1014
(i) <u>Introduction</u>	1014
<u>Clarke v. Clarke</u>	1014

(ii)	<u>The Pelech Trilogy</u>	1021
	Note: <u>Pelech, Richardson</u>	1021
	<u>Caron v. Caron</u>	1021
	Bailey, " <u>Pelech, Caron and Richardson</u> "	1022
	Cossman, "A Matter of Difference: Domestic Contracts and Gender Equality"	1026
(iii)	<u>Post-Pelech: Variation Requests by Wives (Payees)</u>	1028
	Note: <u>Fyffe; Marshall; Isaacson</u>	1028
(iv)	<u>Post-Pelech: Variation Requests by Husbands (Payors)</u>	1030
	Note: <u>Leman; Ritchie</u>	1030
	Bailey, " <u>Pelech, Richardson and Caron</u> "	1032
	<u>Hood v. Hood</u>	1033
	<u>Chant v. Chant (Lawyer's Weekly)</u>	1040

IX. CHILD SUPPORT

A.	<u>Defining the Parent-Child Relationship</u>	1041
a)	<u>Establishing Paternity</u>	1041
	<u>Re Rhan and Pinsonneault</u>	1041
	<u>K.P. v. P.N.</u>	1044
	Note: Status of the Unmarried Father	1046
b)	<u>In Loco Parentis</u>	1049
	<u>Primeau v. Primeau</u>	1049
	<u>Ogden v. Anderson</u>	1055
	<u>Carignan v. Carignan</u>	1056
	<u>Grohmann v. Grohmann</u>	1066
	<u>Hart v. Hart and Boyce</u>	1069

B.	<u>Calculation of Child Support</u>	1072
a)	<u>Introduction</u>	1072
	Blown and Milliken, "The Failure of the Legal System to Protect the Economic Rights of Children"	1072
	Department of Justice, <u>Evaluation of the Divorce Act</u>	1078
	Eekelaar and Maclean, "The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations"	1084
b)	<u>The Paras Formula</u>	1086
	<u>Paras v. Paras</u>	1086
	<u>Giles v. Giles and Woods</u>	1090
	<u>Friesen v. Friesen</u>	1092
	<u>Archer v. Cornfoot</u>	1096
	<u>MacMillan v. MacMillan</u>	1098
	<u>Lucas v. Lucas</u>	1102
	<u>Harper v. Harper</u>	1105
	<u>Moosa v. Moosa</u>	1109
	Note: <u>Duffield v. Duffield</u>	1111
	Note: Contributions of the Custodial Parent Under the <u>Paras Formula</u>	1112
	Further Reading	1112
c)	<u>Reform of Child Support Law</u>	1113
	Pask, "The Effect of Maintenance of Custody Sharing"	1113
	Note: Guidelines for Canada	1114
d)	<u>Domestic Contracts and Child Support</u>	1115
	<u>Silverman v. Silverman</u>	1115
	<u>Thomson v. Thomson</u>	1118

e)	<u>Child Support and Access</u>	1121
	<u>Twaddle v. Twaddle</u>	1121
	<u>Lee v. Lee</u>	1124
	Note: <u>Turecki</u> ; <u>Brownell</u> ; <u>Harrison</u>	1128
f)	<u>Variation of Child Support</u>	1129
	<u>Eekelaar, Family Law and Social Policy</u>	1129
	<u>Maclean, "Households after Divorce"</u>	1133
	<u>Sawchyn v. Sawchyn</u>	1135
	<u>Storer v. Storer</u>	1139
	<u>R.(L.D.) v. R.(H.D.)</u>	1141
	<u>Johnston v. Haywood (Lawyer's Weekly)</u>	1143
	Note: <u>Zablocki v. Redhail</u>	1144
	<u>Abbot v. Taylor</u>	1145
	<u>Smith v. Rubin</u>	1147

X. ENFORCEMENT OF SUPPORT OBLIGATIONS

	<u>Steel, "An Overview of Provincial and Federal Maintenance Enforcement Legislation"</u>	1149
	<u>Globe and Mail, "Men Still Escaping Support Payments Despite Stricter Rules"</u>	1158
	<u>Globe and Mail, "Ontario Cracks Down on Support Defaulters"</u>	1159
	Note: <u>Proposals to Assist in Collecting Support in Ontario</u>	1160
	<u>Toronto Star, "Court Victory on Child Support Just the First Step"</u>	1160
	<u>Toronto Star, "Paying the Price - Divorced Parents Find Ontario's Support Payment System puts Bite on Giver and Taker"</u>	1162
	<u>Glendon, Abortion and Divorce in Western Law</u>	1164

XI. CUSTODY

A.	<u>Introduction</u>	1166
a)	<u>History and Terminology</u>	1166
	Folberg, "Custody Overview"	1166
	Department of Justice, <u>Evaluation of the</u> <u>Divorce Act</u>	1170
b)	<u>Psychological Perspectives on Custody</u>	1173
	Goldstein, Freud and Solnit, <u>Beyond the</u> <u>Best Interests of the Child</u>	1173
	Wallerstein and Kelly, <u>Surviving the Breakup</u>	1181
	Bishop and Mitchell, "Children, Parents, and Divorce"	1184
	Payne, "The Dichotomy between Family Law and Family Crises on Marriage Breakdown"	1186
c)	<u>The Gender Politics of Custody</u>	1190
	Boyd, "Women, Men, and Relationships with Children: Is Equality Possible?"	1190
	Gordon, "Multiple Meanings of Equality: A Case Study in Custody Litigation"	1195
B.	<u>Judicial Decisionmaking</u>	1203
a)	<u>The Best Interests Principle</u>	1203
	<u>Wakaluk v. Wakaluk</u>	1203
	Mnookin, "Child Custody Adjudication: Judicial Functions in the Face of Indeterminacy"	1205

b)	<u>Procedural Aspects of Custody Decisionmaking</u>	1206
	Sachs, "The Dejudicialization of Family Law: Mediation and Assessments"	1206
	Chambers, "Rethinking the Substantive Rules for Custody Disputes in Divorce"	1212
	McBean, "The Myth of Maternal Preference in Child Custody Cases"	1212
	<u>Wakaluk v. Wakaluk</u>	1213
	Abella, "Procedural Aspects of Arrangements for Children Upon Divorce"	1215
	Note: <u>Reid v. Reid</u> ; <u>Young v. Young</u>	1217
	Note: Judicial Responses to Children's Wishes	1218
c)	<u>Sole Custody Determinations</u>	1220
(i)	<u>Status Quo</u>	1220
	<u>Novic v. Novic</u>	1220
	<u>Sherrett v. Sherrett</u>	1223
	Note: Variation Applications (<u>Talbot v. Henry</u>)	1225
(ii)	<u>Tender Years Doctrine and Primary Care-giver Presumption</u>	1226
	Boyd, "Women, Men, and Relationships with Children: Is Equality Possible?"	1226
	<u>Talsky v. Talsky</u>	1228
	<u>R. v. R.</u>	1234
	<u>Klachefsky v. Brown</u>	1244
	<u>Fishback v. Fishback</u>	1250
	— <u>Oldfield v. Oldfield</u> (<u>Lawyer's Weekly</u>)	1256
	<u>Bell v. Kirk</u>	1257
	Note: <u>Aviles v. Aviles</u>	1260
	<u>Tyndale v. Tyndale</u>	1261
	<u>Harden v. Harden</u>	1263
	<u>D.A. v. E.H.</u> (<u>Lawyer's Weekly</u>)	1266
	Boyd, "Women, Men, and Relationships with Children: Is Equality Possible?"	1267
	Boyd, "From Gender Specificity to Gender Neutrality? Ideologies in Canadian Child Custody Law"	1268

(iii)	<u>Violence and Abuse</u>	1272
	<u>Globe and Mail</u> , "Mother Loses Custody After Move Into Shelter"	1272
	<u>Young v. Young</u>	1273
	Note: <u>Renaud v. Renaud</u>	1278
	Myers, "Allegations of Child Sexual Abuse in Custody and Visitation Litigation"	1279
(iv)	<u>Sexual Orientation</u>	1283
	<u>Bezaire v. Bezaire</u>	1283
	Crean, "In the Name of the Fathers: The Story Behind Child Custody"	1289
	Ehrcke, "Limiting Judicial Discretion in Custody Proceedings on Divorce"	1292
	Note: <u>Saunders v. Saunders</u>	1295
d)	<u>Joint Custody</u>	1296
	Folberg, "Joint Custody"	1296
	Note: Recent Changes to California's Custody Legislation	1301
	<u>Globe and Mail</u> , "Joint Custody Viable Option"	1302
	Singer and Reynolds "A Dissent on Joint Custody"	1303
	Bailey, "Unpacking the 'Rational Alternative': A Critical Review of Family Mediation"	1314
	Ryan, "Joint Custody in Canada: Time for a Second Look"	1316
	Boyd, "Women, Men, and Relationships with Children: Is Equality Possible?"	1320
	<u>Parsons v. Parsons</u>	1322
	<u>Nurmi v. Nurmi</u>	1323
	<u>Lewis v. Lewis</u>	1327
	<u>Abbot v. Taylor</u>	1329
	Department of Justice, <u>Evaluation of the Divorce Act</u>	1335
	<u>Toronto Star</u> , "MPP Calls Custody Laws Sanctioned 'Child-abuse'"	1339
	Bill 95	1340

XII. ACCESS

A.	<u>General Principles of Access</u>	1344
a)	<u>Appropriateness of Access</u>	1344
	<u>Rutherford v. Rutherford</u>	1347
	Note: <u>Burdon v. Casselman</u>	1347
	<u>Michel v. Hanley</u>	1348
	Note: <u>Katz v. Katz</u>	1349
	<u>Savidant v. Macleod (Lawyer's Weekly)</u>	1350
	<u>Globe and Mail, "Parent Access Service is Placed in Jeopardy by Ministries' Dispute"</u>	1351
b)	<u>Interference with Access</u>	1352
	<u>Casement v. Casement</u>	1352
	Note: <u>Harrison v. Harrison</u>	1354
	<u>Toronto Star, "U.S. Court Upholds Badmouthing Ban for Divorced Parents"</u>	1355
	<u>Tremblay v. Tremblay</u>	1356
	<u>Frame v. Smith</u>	1358
c)	<u>Rights of the Access Parent</u>	1361
	<u>Young v. Young</u>	1361
d)	<u>Mobility Rights of the Custodial Parent</u>	1393
	Epstein and Goodman, "Custody and Access", Bar Ad Course Materials	1393
	Niman, "Custody, Access, and Parental Mobility Rights"	1394
	<u>Appleby v. Appleby</u>	1399
	<u>Carter v. Brooks</u>	1402
	<u>Sameroo v. Collins (Lawyer's Weekly)</u>	1407
	Note: <u>Gilbert v. Gilbert; Daller v. Daller</u>	1408
e)	<u>Grandparents' Access</u>	
	Foster and Freed, "The Child's Right to Visit Grandparents"	1409
	<u>Milne v. Milne</u>	1414
	<u>Salter v. Borden</u>	1415